



UNIVERSITY OF PRESOV

Board for Editorial Activity of the University of Presov

17. novembra 15, 080 01 Prešov, Slovak Republic

Requirements for a Peer Review of a Publication

The peer review should primarily be a clear statement of a reviewer on the justification of the publication of the reviewed work. This attitude must always be stated at the end of the assessment.

The basic criterion for such a statement should be the professional quality of the work.

Another important criterion is the evaluation of the author's work with literature - both domestic and foreign. It is an assessment of the complexity and timeliness of the literature used.

Especially with scripts and educational texts, the reviewer should pay attention to the comprehensibility of the text from the point of view of the recipient.

In case of textbooks, it is necessary to state clearly whether the submitted text has the character of a university textbook.

In case of a scientific monograph, the reviewer assesses whether the author's work is based on his own scientific research, which must also be briefly characterized, whether the topic is processed by the author to the necessary depth in an original way, i.e. the author's contribution to the development of the scientific discipline must be expressed.

When assessing a scientific monograph, an evaluation of the author's contribution to the development of the scientific discipline is not required.

The reviewer must also assess the stylistic and linguistic level of the submitted work.

At the end of the review, the reviewer will state whether:

- he/she recommends the manuscript for publication without any objections,
- he/she recommends the manuscript for publication with objection (a closer specification is needed and in this case the reviewer will then comment on whether the comments were taken into account by the author and whether he/she recommends the manuscript for publication without further objections),
- he/she does not recommend the manuscript for publication.